

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,693 06/20/2003		0/2003	Michael E. Leman	WEAT/0389	6706
36735	7590	12/07/2005	EXAMINER		
		IDAN, L.L.P. EVARD, SUITE I	KRAMER, DEAN J		
	, TX 77056	VARD, SUITE I	300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3652	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,693	LEMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dean J. Kramer	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	ovember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 15-39 and 41-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 15-28,42 and 43 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 29-39 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. 15.115.115.115.115.115.115.115.115.115				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Act	6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Office Act	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20051205				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-34, 36-39, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer (5,580,114) in view of Roark (3,208,788).

Palmer shows an embodiment in Figures 5-8 comprising a top sub (52), a housing (60) having an inclined inner surface (84), gripping members (58,80) having outer surfaces (82), and a piston (72,74) slidably coupled to the gripping members such that when actuated by fluid pressure, the gripping members are disengaged from an item. The Palmer patent does not specifically disclose teeth or "wickers" along the inner surface of its gripping members.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

However, Roark shows well tool having a plurality of gripping slips (57) with wickers (56) along their inner surfaces to tightly engage the outer surface of a tube (66) to be retrieved.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wickers along the inner surface of Palmers gripping members similar to that shown in Roark in order to more securely engage the outer surface of a smooth-walled pipe or the like.

4. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer in view of Roark as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Taylor (5,765,638).

Taylor shows a gripping tool substantially similar to Palmer and Roark, but Taylor tool also contains a seal ring (20) for engaging the outer surface of the item being retrieved.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a seal ring on the modified Palmer tool, as was presented above in section 3, as taught by Taylor in order to seal the inner moving parts of the tool once an item is gripped by the gripping members.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 15-28, 42, and 43 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 41 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dean J. Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

lu-12/5/05

djk 12/5/05